

BRIEF REPORT

Effects of DPP-4 inhibitor linagliptin and GLP-1 receptor agonist liraglutide on physiological response to hypoglycaemia in Japanese subjects with type 2 diabetes: A randomized, open-label, 2-arm parallel comparative, exploratory trial

Daisuke Yabe M.D., Ph.D.^{1,2,3,4} | Takashi Eto M.D., Ph.D.⁵ |
 Masanari Shiramoto M.D., Ph.D.⁵ | Shin Irie M.D.⁵ | Kenta Murotani Ph.D.⁶ |
 Yusuke Seino M.D., Ph.D.⁷ | Hitoshi Kuwata M.D.^{1,2} | Takeshi Kurose M.D., Ph.D.^{1,2} |
 Susumu Seino M.D., D.M.Sci.⁴ | Bo Ahrén M.D., Ph.D.⁸ | Yutaka Seino M.D., Ph.D.^{1,2}

¹Yutaka Seino Distinguished Center for Diabetes Research, Kansai Electric Power Medical Research Institute, Kobe, Japan

²Center for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Kansai Electric Power Hospital, Osaka, Japan

³Center for Metabolism and Clinical Nutrition, Kansai Electric Power Hospital, Osaka, Japan

⁴Division of Molecular and Metabolic Medicine, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan

⁵Hakata Clinic, SOUSEIKAI, Fukuoka, Japan

⁶Division of Biostatistics, Clinical Research Center, Aichi Medical University Hospital, Nagakute, Japan

⁷Departments of Endocrinology and Diabetes Metabolic Medicine, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

⁸Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Corresponding Authors: Daisuke Yabe and Yutaka Seino, Yutaka Seino Distinguished Center for Diabetes Research, Kansai Electric Power Medical Research Institute, 1-5-6 Minatojimaminamimachi, Chuo-ku, Kobe 650-0047, Japan (ydaisuke-kyoto@umin.ac.jp; seino.yutaka@e2.kepco.co.jp).

Funding information

This study was financially supported by Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim and Eli Lilly and Company.

Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors reduce the risk of hypoglycaemia, possibly through augmentation of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) action, but not that of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) on glucagon secretion. To examine this model in Japanese individuals with type 2 diabetes (T2D), the effects of the DPP-4 inhibitor linagliptin on glucagon and other counter-regulatory hormone responses to hypoglycaemia were evaluated and compared with those of the GLP-1 receptor agonist liraglutide in a multi-centre, randomized, open-label, 2-arm parallel comparative, exploratory trial. Three-step hypoglycaemic clamp glucose tests preceded by meal tolerance tests were performed before and after 2-week treatment with the drugs. Glucagon levels were increased during the hypoglycaemic clamp test at 2.5 mmol/L. This increase was similar in the linagliptin and liraglutide groups, both before and after the 2-week treatment. Changes in other counter-regulatory hormones (ie, growth hormone, cortisol, epinephrine and norepinephrine) were also similar between the groups, but were suppressed substantially after 2-week treatment compared to baseline. In conclusion, we confirmed that the glucagon response to hypoglycaemia was not affected by linagliptin or liraglutide treatment in Japanese individuals with T2D.

KEYWORDS

DPP-4 inhibitor, GLP-1 receptor agonist, glucagon response, hypoglycaemia, sympatho-adrenal response

1 | INTRODUCTION

Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors are widely used in treatment of type 2 diabetes (T2D).^{1,2} DPP-4 inhibitors increase biologically intact forms of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), both of which enhance glucose-induced insulin secretion from pancreatic β -cells.^{3,4} GLP-1 suppresses glucagon secretion from pancreatic α -cells when glucose levels are high,^{5,6} whereas GIP augments glucagon secretion in response to hypoglycaemia.^{7–10} Thus, enhancement of GIP and GLP-1 actions by DPP-4 inhibitors should contribute, not only to amelioration of hyperglycaemia, but also to mitigation of severe hypoglycaemia. It was reported that the DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin enhances the glucagon response to hypoglycaemia in drug-naïve individuals with T2D,¹¹ whereas the glucagon response to hypoglycaemia was not affected by GLP-1 receptor (GLP-1R) agonists.^{12,13} This might suggest differing effects of DPP-4 inhibitors and GLP-1R agonists on the glucagon response to hypoglycaemia, which needs to be examined in head-to-head studies. In this study, we compared effects of the DPP-4 inhibitor linagliptin with those of the GLP-1R agonist liraglutide on the responses to hypoglycaemia of glucagon and other counter-regulatory hormones in Japanese individuals with T2D.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study protocol

This was a multi-centre, randomized, prospective, open-label, 2-arm parallel comparative, exploratory study in Japanese individuals with T2D (UMIN-CTR clinical trial registration number: UMIN000014417). Those eligible were randomized in a 1-to-1 ratio to a linagliptin or liraglutide treatment group by computer-based dynamic allocation, taking gender, age and BMI into consideration for stratified randomization based on screening results at visit 1 (V1, week -4). A meal tolerance test (MTT) and stepped hyperinsulinaemic glucose clamp test (SHGCT) were performed at visit 2 (V2, day 0) and visit 3 (V3, day 14); blood samples were obtained and analysed as described in File S1. Subjects received study medication for 14 days (days 1–14), linagliptin 5 mg once daily or liraglutide 0.3 mg via subcutaneous injection once daily from day 1 to day 6 and 0.6 mg from day 7 to day 14. Liraglutide up to only 0.9 mg once daily is the dosage approved in Japan. Subjects were recruited and screened in 2 medical institutions in Osaka and Fukuoka, Japan after obtaining approval of the respective ethics committees. Randomization was performed at A2 Healthcare Corp in Tokyo, Japan. Data were collected in an inpatient ward of the medical institution in Fukuoka, Japan. Recruitment of subjects began July 5, 2014 and the last follow-up date was April 20, 2015. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

2.2 | Study population

Eligible Japanese individuals with T2D were age 40–70 years with a body mass index (BMI) < 30 kg/m², and a treatment history of a single oral hypoglycaemic agent with HbA1c 6.0% to 8.0% (42–64 mmol/

mol) or no drug treatment with HbA1c 6.0% to 8.5% (42–69 mmol/mol). Individuals requiring insulin therapy, or those treated with insulin, DPP-4 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists during the 4 weeks before informed consent, or those treated with pioglitazone during the 12 weeks before informed consent were excluded, as were those with cardiac failure or history of cerebro-cardiovascular disease or pancreatitis. The sample size was not based on a power calculation as this was an exploratory pilot study; however, the sample size would seem to be appropriate in the context of previous, related studies.^{9,11}

2.3 | Study assessments

Major outcomes were changes in glucagon and other counter-regulatory hormones (ie, epinephrine, norepinephrine, cortisol and growth hormone [GH]) during SHGCT following 2-week treatment with linagliptin or liraglutide in the per protocol set (PPS) population (ie, subjects who received 14-day administration of study medication with successful completion of SHGCT on both V2 and V3). All adverse events (AE) were collected and AE by system organ class/preferred terms, serious adverse events (SAE) and discontinuation from AE were summarized for the full analysis set (FAS) population (ie, subjects who received at least 1 dose of study medication, with data from at least 1 point of safety available after drug administration, regardless of successful completion of SHGCT on either V2 or V3).

2.4 | Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina). Results are reported as mean \pm SE unless otherwise stated. Area-under-the curve (AUC) of each measurement was calculated according to the trapezoidal rule. Repeated measures were analysed with mixed-design analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). The changes of each measurement from 225 to 255 minutes were tested using two sample *t* test. A 2-sided *P* value < .05 was taken to indicate significant difference.

3 | RESULTS

Thirty-five screened subjects were randomized to the linagliptin treatment group or the liraglutide treatment group; they received study assessments at V2 and V3 and were analysed as the FAS population (Table 1 and Figure S1). Six of them did not reach the target blood glucose level in the hypoglycaemic phase at V2 and/or V3 and were excluded from the PPS population.

MTT were performed to increase GIP and GLP-1 prior to SHGCT. Glucose levels were similar between the linagliptin and liraglutide groups at V2, and were decreased similarly at V3, to a greater degree in the liraglutide group (Table S1 and Figure S2). C-peptide and ISR were significantly higher in the liraglutide group at V2 and V3, and were similarly enhanced at V3 compared to V2 in both groups. Glucagon tended to be higher in the liraglutide group at V2 and V3, but was similarly suppressed at V3 compared to V2 in both groups. Intact GIP levels were similar in the two groups at V2, and were increased at V3 only in the linagliptin group; GIP levels were similar between

the two groups at V2 and V3 (Table S1 and Figure S3). Total and intact GLP-1 were not determined in the liraglutide group throughout the study because of the difficulty in differentiating liraglutide from endogenous GLP-1.

SHGCT were performed immediately after MTT. Glucose levels at V2 and V3 in both groups were similarly decreased during euglycaemic and hypoglycaemic phases (Figure S4). After discontinuation of insulin infusion at 255 minutes, blood glucose recovered similarly at V2 and V3 in both groups. The recovery from hypoglycaemia exhibited no significant difference between groups and glucose infusion rates (IIR) from 255 to 300 minutes were similar between groups and between visits. Glucose infusion rates were also similar between groups and between visits (Figure S7). ISR at V3 was higher than that at V2 during the hyperglycaemic and euglycaemic phases, but there was no difference in ISR during the hypoglycaemic phase between the two groups or between visits (Table 2 and Figure S4). During the hyperglycaemic and euglycaemic phases, glucagon at V2 and V3 were nearly unchanged and were similar in both groups (Table 2 and Figure S5). Glucagon increased rapidly during the hypoglycaemic phase and there was no difference in glucagon response between groups or between visits. Total GIP levels were similar between groups at V2 and V3. Intact GIP levels were similar between groups at V2, and were increased only in the linagliptin group at V3. GH, cortisol, epinephrine and norepinephrine were increased during the latter half of the hypoglycaemic phase at V2 and V3 (Table 2 and Figure S6). The changes in GH, cortisol, epinephrine and norepinephrine at V3 were less than those at V2 in both groups. The overall results in the FAS population were fully consistent with those of the PPS population (data not shown).

3.1 | Safety

AE and SAE were analysed in the FAS population. No AE was reported in the linagliptin group. One subject in the liraglutide group

experienced nausea, which was assessed as non-serious and treatment-related. No SAE was experienced by any individual in either group.

4 | DISCUSSION

A main finding of this study comparing linagliptin with liraglutide in Japanese individuals with T2D confirms our general understanding that incretin therapies do not prevent hypoglycaemia-induced glucagon secretion, as was previously reported for the DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin and the GLP-1R agonists albiglutide and lixisenatide in individuals with T2D.^{11–14} This supports the notion that these therapies are not associated with increased risk of hypoglycaemia. Importantly, the present study shows that linagliptin did not enhance hypoglycaemia-induced glucagon secretion even though biologically intact GIP was significantly elevated. It has been shown previously that vildagliptin enhanced hypoglycaemia-induced glucagon secretion in drug-naïve individuals with T2.¹¹ Discrepancies between that study and ours could be explained by the following possibilities. First, different DPP-4 inhibitors were used for different treatment periods (ie, vildagliptin, 4 weeks; linagliptin, 2 weeks). Second, different comparisons were made (ie, vildagliptin vs placebo; linagliptin vs liraglutide; before vs after linagliptin treatment). Third, different ethnic groups were studied (ie, Caucasian vs Japanese); T2D in East Asians, including Japanese, is characterized primarily by β -cell dysfunction with less adiposity and it differs phenotypically from T2D in Caucasians,¹⁵ showing greater HbA1c reduction in response to DPP-4 inhibitors and GLP-1R agonists.^{16,17} Finally, subjects with different baseline HbA1c levels were enrolled (ie, mean HbA1c 6.3% vs 7.3%). It could therefore be possible that glucagonotropic effects of GIP might be impaired by chronic hyperglycaemia, similarly to the insulinotropic effects of GIP.¹⁸ Interestingly, enhancement of the hypoglycaemia-

TABLE 1 Demographic and baseline characteristics of full analysis set and per protocol set populations

	Full analysis set			Per protocol set		
	Linagliptin	Liraglutide	P value	Linagliptin	Liraglutide	P value
n (male/female)	18 (13/5)	17 (12/5)		15 (11/4)	14 (10/4)	
Age (years)	58.56 \pm 7.81	57.76 \pm 7.63	.764	59.53 \pm 7.12	56.79 \pm 7.66	.326
BMI (kg / m ²)	23.82 \pm 2.29	23.98 \pm 3.15	.871	23.47 \pm 1.93	23.88 \pm 3.49	.702
Waist circumference (cm)	86.79 \pm 8.86	87.46 \pm 10.85	.842	86.05 \pm 9.2	85.53 \pm 10.8	.889
Duration (years)	4.00 \pm 3.66	3.59 \pm 5.73	.801	4.67 \pm 3.66	3.50 \pm 6.02	.530
FPG (mg/dL)	143.89 \pm 31.19	139.65 \pm 27.36	.672	146.73 \pm 33.54	137.21 \pm 28.34	.418
HbA1c (%)	7.38 \pm 0.71	7.21 \pm 0.59	.441	7.37 \pm 0.75	7.14 \pm 0.57	.375
OAD use (%)	0	0		0	0	
Systolic BP (mm Hg)	130.44 \pm 10.99	128.24 \pm 9.26	.526	131.40 \pm 11.56	127.93 \pm 8.91	.376
Diastolic BP (mm Hg)	78.89 \pm 6.91	78.47 \pm 8.24	.871	78.67 \pm 7.47	78.43 \pm 9.10	.939
Total-cholesterol (mg/dL)	217.28 \pm 38.44	224.76 \pm 45.15	.600	219.13 \pm 36.38	217.29 \pm 44.89	.904
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	59.17 \pm 16.19	61.41 \pm 15.74	.680	61.53 \pm 16.08	63.21 \pm 16.78	.785
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	157.39 \pm 96.03	120.65 \pm 34.94	.143	148.20 \pm 99.38	112.79 \pm 32.47	.208
DPP-4 activity (nmol/mL/min)	8.73 \pm 1.66	9.02 \pm 1.95	.639	8.76 \pm 1.72	9.19 \pm 2.09	.542

Each value represents mean \pm standard deviation.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HDL, high density lipoprotein; OAD, oral anti-diabetic drugs.

TABLE 2 Response to hypoglycaemia in the per protocol population

		Linagliptin				Liraglutide				$\Delta(V3 - V2)$			
		V2		V3		V2		V3		Linagliptin		Liraglutide	
		Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
ISR	$\Delta[225 - 255]$ (pmol/m ²)	- 84.85	24.21	- 105.90	17.37	.493	- 105.70	23.42	- 95.82	.733	- 21.05	29.89	28.36
	AUC[225 - 255] (pmol/m ² × min)	2057.94	317.03	2010.04	250.84	.907	1824.22	283.26	2135.27	.463	- 47.90	403.86	411.03
Glucagon	$\Delta[225 - 255]$ (pg/mL)	70.87	16.59	55.73	10.75	.393	56.43	12.24	62.21	.284	- 15.13	17.16	5.18
	AUC[225 - 300] (pg/mL × min)	9151.00	930.08	8653.50	700.72	.358	9051.43	787.85	8776.61	.226	- 497.50	523.15	216.39
Growth hormone	$\Delta[225 - 255]$ (ng/mL)	5.07	1.04	2.48	0.87	.023	2.58	0.80	0.94	.081	- 2.60	1.01	0.87
	AUC[225 - 300] (ng/mL × min)	329.10	45.03	256.65	48.81	.222	275.08	49.58	219.30	.264	- 72.44	56.62	47.72
Cortisol	$\Delta[225 - 255]$ (μg/dL)	7.09	1.49	3.05	1.12	.035	3.34	1.47	1.51	.315	- 4.05	1.74	1.75
	AUC[225 - 300] (μg/dL × min)	1302.25	71.33	970.80	65.19	.000	1225.45	103.09	907.61	.001	- 331.45	68.13	77.99
Epinephrine	$\Delta[225 - 255]$ (ng/mL)	0.98	0.22	0.53	0.14	.103	0.72	0.20	0.33	.091	- 0.45	0.16	0.12
	AUC[225 - 300] (ng/mL × min)	36.25	7.56	20.25	3.60	.006	28.23	6.19	13.23	.004	- 16.00	4.92	4.35
Norepinephrine	$\Delta[225 - 255]$ (ng/mL)	0.29	0.07	0.14	0.04	.041	0.23	0.05	0.15	.079	- 0.15	0.06	0.04
	AUC[225 - 300] (ng/mL × min)	30.09	3.37	27.90	2.02	.277	29.76	2.19	27.96	.313	- 2.19	1.93	1.72
Total GIP	$\Delta[225 - 255]$ (pmol/L)	67.07	35.14	60.08	23.76	.871	- 66.22	58.52	- 29.28	.579	- 6.98	34.20	48.61
	AUC[225 - 300] (pmol/L × min)	14196.60	2810.26	13006.23	1441.86	.620	9828.73	766.24	14330.31	.014	- 1190.37	2349.66	1581.68
Intact GIP	$\Delta[225 - 255]$ (pmol/L)	9.17	5.50	11.71	6.34	.765	- 8.04	8.08	0.13	.413	2.54	7.37	7.06
	AUC[225 - 300] (pmol/L × min)	1434.30	296.39	3386.85	436.02	<.0001	1096.42	150.22	1528.59	.065	1952.55	335.06	214.47
Total GLP-1	$\Delta[225 - 255]$ (pmol/L)	2.19	0.71	2.50	1.00	.804	ND	ND	ND		0.31	0.92	ND
	AUC[225 - 300] (pmol/L × min)	433.04	45.82	430.15	41.01	.923	ND	ND	ND		- 2.89	29.34	ND
Intact GLP-1	$\Delta[225 - 255]$ (pmol/L)	- 0.71	0.26	0.61	0.69	.091	ND	ND	ND		1.32	0.70	ND
	AUC[225 - 300] (pmol/L × min)	95.23	10.54	225.71	20.42	<.0001	ND	ND	ND		130.48	18.94	ND

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; CPR, C-peptide reactivity; GIP, glucose-dependent insulintropic polypeptide; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; ISR, insulin secretion rate; S.E., standard error; V2, visit 2; V3, visit3.

induced glucagon response by GIP or vildagliptin was observed in T2D individuals with mean baseline HbA1c values between 6.3% and 6.5%,^{7,11} but there was little enhancement by vildagliptin in individuals with T2D with a mean baseline HbA1c value of 7.7%.¹⁴ Further investigations are required to clarify the discrepancies between these two studies.

In the current study, the hypoglycaemia-induced responses of the counter-regulatory hormones GH, cortisol, epinephrine and norepinephrine were attenuated at V3 compared to those at V2, which is similar to the effect of DPP-4 inhibitor linagliptin and the GLP-1 receptor agonist liraglutide (Table 2 and Figure S6). The lack of placebo or euglycaemic control groups in our study does not permit exclusion of the possibility that the subjects' experiences at V2 might affect the counter-responses of GH, cortisol, epinephrine and norepinephrine seen at V3. Furthermore, the variable IIR could result in different insulin levels between tests that might affect responses of the counter-regulatory hormones. However, a recent study in T2D individuals reported suppressive effects on hypoglycaemia-induced sympatho-adrenal response after treatment with the GLP-1 receptor agonist lixisenatide,¹³ which are similar to our present findings. Co-administration of some incretin therapies in insulin and/or sulfonylurea-treated individuals with T2D would seem to have potential for preventing hypoglycaemia-induced release of cortisol and catecholamine, and thus possibly reduce cardiovascular event risks. However, further investigations are needed to clarify the underlying mechanisms and clinical relevance of the current findings, as most previous studies on incretin therapies did not show similar suppressive effects in individuals with T2D or type 1 diabetes.^{11,19,20} In conclusion, neither the DPP-4 inhibitor linagliptin nor the GLP-1 receptor agonist liraglutide impaired the alpha-cell responsiveness to hypoglycaemia in Japanese individuals with T2D.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Toshinari Takamura of Kanazawa University for the protocol for the hyperinsulinaemic clamp test. The authors thank Nobuya Inagaki of Kyoto University, Yuichiro Yamada of Akita University and Kazuhiro Tsumura of Kawasaki City Municipal Hospital for serving as the safety committee for the current clinical trial. The authors also thank Yoko Shigefuku of Kansai Electric Power Medical Research Institute and Michiko Yamane of Kansai Electric Power Hospital for secretarial assistance. The writing of this article was supported by A2 Healthcare Corp.

Conflict of interest

D. Y. received consulting and/or speaker fees from Eli Lilly Japan K.K., MSD K.K., Sanofi K.K., Novo Nordisk Pharma Ltd., Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Ltd., Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited and Taisho Toyama Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. D. Y. also received clinical commissioned/joint research grants from Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Ltd., Eli Lilly and Company, Taisho Toyama Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. and MSD K.K. S. S. received consulting and/or speaker fees from Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co. Ltd., Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, Takeda Pharmaceutical Company

Limited, Astellas Pharma Inc. S. S. also received clinical commissioned/joint research grants from Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co. Ltd., MSD, K.K., Novo Nordisk Pharma Ltd., Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, Eli Lilly Japan, K.K., Sanofi, K.K., Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, Astellas Pharma Inc., Daiichi Sankyo Company and Limited, Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Ltd., Novartis Pharma K.K. and Taisho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. T. K. received consulting and/or speaker fees from Astellas Pharma Inc., Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Ltd., Sanofi K.K., Novo Nordisk Pharma Inc., MSD, K.K., Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, Kowa Company, Ltd., Astellas Pharma Inc., Tanabe Mitsubishi Pharma Corporation, Kaken Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., AstraZeneca, Daiichi-Sankyo Co., Ltd. and Kyowa Hakko Kirin Co., Ltd. T. K. also received clinical commissioned/joint research grants from Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Ltd., Novo Nordisk Pharma Inc., MSD K.K., Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Eli Lilly Japan K.K., Teijin limited, and Sanofi K.K. B. A. has consulted for Novartis, Glaxo-SmithKline, Merck, Sanofi, Novo Nordisk, Boehringer Ingelheim and Takeda and has received lecture fees from Novartis A/S, Merck, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Bristol Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca and GlaxoSmithKline. Y. S. received consulting and/or speaker fees from Eli Lilly Japan K.K., Sanofi K.K., Novo Nordisk Pharma Inc., Glaxo-Smith-Kline, Taisho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Taisho Toyama Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Astellas Pharma Inc., BD, Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Ltd., Johnson & Johnson and Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited. Y. S. also received clinical commissioned/joint research grants from Taisho Toyama Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Ltd., Eli Lilly and MSD, K.K. T. E., S. I., M. S., K. M., Y. S. and H. K. report no conflict of interest relevant to this study.

Author contributions

D. Y. and Y. S. contributed to the conception and design of the research and writing of the manuscript. T. E., S. I. and M. S. contributed to the design of the research, collection of data, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. H. K., K. T., Y. S., S. S. and B. A. contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. K. M. contributed to the design of the research, statistical analysis and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors approved the version to be published. D. Y. and Y. S. are the guarantors of this work.

REFERENCES

1. Deacon CF, Holst JJ. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: comparison, efficacy and safety. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2013;14:2047-2058.
2. Seino Y, Kuwata H, Yabe D. Incretin-based drugs for type 2 diabetes: focus on East Asian perspectives. *J Diabetes Invest*. 2016;7:102-109.
3. Seino Y, Fukushima M, Yabe D. GIP and GLP-1, the two incretin hormones: similarities and differences. *J Diabetes Invest*. 2010;1:9-23.
4. Drucker DJ. Incretin action in the pancreas: potential promise, possible perils, and pathological pitfalls. *Diabetes*. 2013;62:3316-3323.
5. Nauck MA, Heimesaat MM, Behle K, et al. Effects of glucagon-like peptide 1 on counterregulatory hormone responses, cognitive functions, and insulin secretion during hyperinsulinemic, stepped

- hypoglycemic clamp experiments in healthy volunteers. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2002;87:1239-1246.
6. Nauck MA, Heimesaat MM, Orskov C, Holst JJ, Ebert R, Creutzfeldt W. Preserved incretin activity of glucagon-like peptide 1 [7-36 amide] but not of synthetic human gastric inhibitory polypeptide in patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Invest.* 1993;91:301-307.
 7. Christensen MB, Calanna S, Holst JJ, Vilsboll T, Knop FK. Glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide: blood glucose stabilizing effects in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;99:E418-E426.
 8. Taminato T, Seino Y, Goto Y, Inoue Y, Kadowaki S. Synthetic gastric inhibitory polypeptide. Stimulatory effect on insulin and glucagon secretion in the rat. *Diabetes.* 1977;26:480-484.
 9. Christensen M, Vedtofte L, Holst JJ, Vilsboll T, Knop FK. Glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide: a bifunctional glucose-dependent regulator of glucagon and insulin secretion in humans. *Diabetes.* 2011;60:3103-3109.
 10. Malmgren S, Ahren B. DPP-4 inhibition contributes to the prevention of hypoglycaemia through a GIP-glucagon counterregulatory axis in mice. *Diabetologia.* 2015;58:1091-1099.
 11. Ahren B, Schweizer A, Dejager S, et al. Vildagliptin enhances islet responsiveness to both hyper- and hypoglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2009;94:1236-1243.
 12. Hompesch M, Jones-Leone A, Carr MC, et al. Albiglutide does not impair the counter-regulatory hormone response to hypoglycaemia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, stepped glucose clamp study in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2015;17:82-90.
 13. Farngren J, Persson M, Ahren B. Effect of the GLP-1 receptor agonist lixisenatide on counterregulatory responses to hypoglycemia in subjects with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2016;39:242-249.
 14. Farngren J, Persson M, Schweizer A, Foley JE, Ahren B. Glucagon dynamics during hypoglycaemia and food-re-challenge following treatment with vildagliptin in insulin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2014;16:812-818.
 15. Yabe D, Seino Y, Fukushima M, Seino S. Beta cell dysfunction versus insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes in East Asians. *Curr Diab Rep.* 2015;15:602.
 16. Kim YG, Hahn S, Oh TJ, Kwak SH, Park KS, Cho YM. Differences in the glucose-lowering efficacy of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors between Asians and Non-Asians: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia.* 2013;56:696-708.
 17. Kim YG, Hahn S, Oh TJ, Park KS, Cho YM. Differences in the HbA1c-lowering efficacy of glucagon-like peptide-1 analogues between Asians and non-Asians: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2014;16:900-909.
 18. Aaboe K, Akram S, Deacon CF, Holst JJ, Madsbad S, Krarup T. Restoration of the insulinotropic effect of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide contributes to the antidiabetic effect of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2015;7:74-81.
 19. Farngren J, Persson M, Schweizer A, Foley JE, Ahren B. Vildagliptin reduces glucagon during hyperglycemia and sustains glucagon counterregulation during hypoglycemia in type 1 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012;97:3799-3806.
 20. Pieber TR, Deller S, Korsatko S, et al. Counter-regulatory hormone responses to hypoglycaemia in people with type 1 diabetes after 4 weeks of treatment with liraglutide adjunct to insulin: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, crossover trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2015;17:742-750.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Yabe D, Eto T, Shiramoto M, Irie S, Murotani K, Seino Y, Kuwata H, Kurose T, Seino S, Ahren B and Seino Y. Effects of DPP-4 inhibitor linagliptin and GLP-1 receptor agonist liraglutide on physiological response to hypoglycaemia in Japanese subjects with type 2 diabetes: A randomized, open-label, 2-arm parallel comparative, exploratory trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2016. doi: 10.1111/dom.12817